



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/052,692	01/19/2002	Catherine Lin-Hendel	Lin-Hendel - Auto Scroll 3788	
75	590 08/25/2004		EXAMINER	
ZIMMERMAN & LEVI, L.L.P. 226 ST. PAUL STREET WESTFIELD, NJ 07090			MISTRY, O NEAL RAJAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2173	2173

DATE MAILED: 08/25/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



			1/2/6
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	110/
Office Action Occur	10/052,692	LIN-HENDEL, CATHERIN	E ,
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	O'Neal R Mistry	2173	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	-
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be till y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE.	mely filed ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communical ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	tion.
Status			
<ol> <li>Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>20 Ja</u></li> <li>This action is <b>FINAL</b>.</li> <li>Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under Exercise.</li> </ol>	action is non-final.		is
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-39 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 20 January 2001 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	: a) ☑ accepted or b) ☐ objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Setion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.12	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal I 6) Other:		

Art Unit: 2173

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. This application has been examined.
- 2. Claims 1-39 are presented for examination.

### **Priority**

3. Claim priority to provisional application 60/262998 on January 20, 2001

## **Drawings**

4. The Examiner contends that the drawings submitted on January 20, 2001 are acceptable for the examination proceedings.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims1-24, 27-34,36-39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Berstis et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,874,936).
- 6. In regards to claim 1, Berstis discloses a method of automatically scrolling comprising the steps of:

placing a cursor on a respective end of a floating border structure (Figure 2 item 40 & 42);

and,

Art Unit: 2173

automatically scrolling through content extending beyond display window into a field of view of the display window in a predetermined direction designated by the end (col. 2 lines 7-9) [This invention relates to a method and apparatus for automatically scrolling the contents of a window using a remote pointing device.].

7. In regards to claim 2, Berstis states the floating border structure has a top end and a bottom end (col. 2 line 66- col. 3 line 1 & Figure 2 item 32-36) [Referring now to FIG. 2, there is shown the operation of the automatic scroll function of the invention. A display area 31 contains multiple open windows 32-36.]. The examiner interprets the meaning of a border in <a href="https://www.onelook.com">www.onelook.com</a>: noun: a strip forming the outer edge of something. After carefully consideration the examiner deciphers that in Figure 2 items 32-36 have floating borders structure on the top and bottom of the screen.; and

the step (b) includes:

when the respective end is the top end, the content is automatically scrolled down to bring the content within the field of view (col. 4 lines 56-63) [automatically scrolling the contents of said active window beyond said one of said associated boundaries in a direction indicated by said cursor within said viewable area by said user;

detecting the end of the contents beyond the associated boundaries of said active window during a vertical scroll operation;]; and,

Art Unit: 2173

when the respective end is the bottom end, the content is automatically scrolled up to bring the content within the field of view (col. 4 lines 56-63) [automatically scrolling the contents of said active window beyond said one of said associated boundaries in a direction indicated by said cursor within said viewable area by said user;

detecting the end of the contents beyond the associated boundaries of said active window during a vertical scroll operation;];.

8. In regards to claim 3, Berstis discloses the floating border structure has a right-side end and a left-side end (col. 2 line 66- col. 3 line 1 & Figure 2 item 32-36)

[Referring now to FIG. 2, there is shown the operation of the automatic scroll function of the invention. A display area 31 contains multiple open windows 32-36.]. The examiner interprets the meaning of a border in <a href="www.onelook.com">www.onelook.com</a>: noun: a strip forming the outer edge of something. After carefully consideration the examiner deciphers that in Figure 2 items 32-36 have floating borders structure on the left and right of the screen; and

wherein the step (b) further includes the steps of:

when the respective end is the right-side end, the content is automatically scrolled left to bring the content within the field of view(col. 4 lines 33-39) [automatically scrolling the contents of said active window beyond said one of said associated boundaries in a direction indicated by said cursor within said viewable area by said user;

Art Unit: 2173

detecting the end of the contents beyond the associated boundaries of said active window during a horizontal scroll operation]; and

when the respective end is the left-side end, the content is automatically scrolled right to bring the content within the field of view (col. 4 lines 33-39) [automatically scrolling the contents of said active window beyond said one of said associated boundaries in a direction indicated by said cursor within said viewable area by said user;

detecting the end of the contents beyond the associated boundaries of said active window during a horizontal scroll operation];.

- 9. In regards to claim 4, Berstis states the steps of:
- (c) moving the cursor away from the respective end (col. 4 lines 51-54) [detecting movement of said cursor at one of said associated boundaries of said active window responsive to the operation of said remotely operable pointing device by said user]; and
- (d) directly in response to the step (c), automatically stopping the step (b) (col. 4 lines 56-59) [automatically scrolling the contents of said active window beyond said one of said associated boundaries in a direction indicated by said cursor within said viewable area by said user;] The examiner interprets that Berstis auto scroll as the ability of moving the

Art Unit: 2173

cursor away for the respective boundaries, and is directly connected to for automatically stopping the step.

- 10. In regards to claim 5, Berstis discloses the steps of:
- (c) during the step (b), determining if a full-screen shift of the content has occurred (col. 3 lines 44-47) [If NO, the procedure causes a cursor to be displayed and moves to block 68 to check if the cursor is at the screen boundary.]; and
- (d) in response to step (c) automatically pausing the step (b) (col. 3 lines 57-59) [If YES, the procedure causes the cursor to jump to the closest open window and processing continues at block 66. If NO, processing continues at block 68.]. The examiner interprets in that when the cursor has reached at the end of the boundary of the screen it is scrolling, then there is a automatic pause until they user keeps on the scrolling and then reached the end of the boundary of the following screen.
- 11. In regards to claim 6, Berstis states further comprising the steps of:
- (e) after the step (d), clicking a left key of a mouse (col. 3 lines 39-42) [If YES, the procedure moves to block 64 where a determination is made whether user input have been detected from the pointing device.

  If NO, the procedure loops awaiting user input.]; and
- (t) in response to the step (e), resuming the step (b) (Figure 3) In referencing Figure 3, the examiner take into consideration the entire scrolling process, is resumed any time when windows are opened or when the user initiate a automatic scroll function.

Art Unit: 2173

- 12. In regards to claim 7, Berstis discloses the display window is a browser window, and the content is a page (col. 2 lines 63-65) [Contents may include representations of files, folders, documents, databases, and spreadsheets, etc. Alternatively, the window 12 may also be said to display information which may include text, video images, graphic data, database records or spreadsheet cells.].
- 13. In regards to claim 8, Berstis states the floating border structure is a floating line or floating box (Figure 1 item 20 & Figure 2 items 40 and 42).
- 14. In regards to claim 9, Berstis states the steps of: activating a user control to perform one of: begin automatic scrolling, stop automatic scrolling, advance scrolling a page, increase scrolling speed and decrease scrolling speed (Figure 3 items 68-76).
- 15. In regards to claim 10, Berstis states the steps of:

  placing a cursor on at least one of a plurality of direction indicators (col. 1 lines 36-38)

  [One prior art technique for insuring a known amount of information will be displayed beyond the screen boundary is the use of Page Down (PgDn) and Page Up (PgUp) keys.]; and

while the cursor is on the one direction indicator, automatically scrolling through content extending beyond a display window, into a field of view of the display window, in a predetermined direction designated by the one direction indicator. [One prior art technique for insuring a known amount of information will be

Art Unit: 2173

displayed beyond the screen boundary is the use of Page Down (PgDn) and Page Up (PgUp) keys.]. The examiner interprets that if a user is allowed to use the arrows located on a scroll bar to automatically scroll through a document, this is a predetermined direction designated by the direction indicator.

16. In regards to claim 11, Berstis states a browser display window comprising: a display window having a field of view;

a first floating border structure having first and second ends oriented in a vertical plane for effectuating automatic scrolling vertically through content within the field of view when a cursor is placed on a respective one of the first and second ends (col. 4 lines 56-63) [automatically scrolling the contents of said active window beyond said one of said associated boundaries in a direction indicated by said cursor within said viewable area by said user; detecting the end of the contents beyond the associated boundaries of said active window during a vertical scroll operation;]; and

a second floating border structure having third and fourth ends oriented in a horizontal plane for effectuating automatic scrolling horizontally through content within the field of view when the cursor is placed on a respective one of the third and fourth ends (col. 4 lines 33-39) [automatically scrolling the contents of said active window beyond said one of said associated boundaries in a direction indicated by said cursor within said viewable area by said user; detecting the end of the contents beyond the

Application/Control Number: 10/052,692 Art Unit: 2173

associated boundaries of said active window during a horizontal scroll operation;].

- 17. Claim 12 is substantially equivalent to claim 2, therefore claim 12 is rejected because of similar rationale.
- 18. Claim 13 is substantially equivalent to claim 3, therefore claim 13 is rejected because of similar rationale.
- 19. In regards to claim 14, Berstis states the content is a page (col. 2 line 63-65)

  [Although the window 12 has been shown as displaying text, more generally, the window may be said to display the "contents".

  Contents may include representations of files, folders, documents, databases, and spreadsheets, etc. Alternatively, the window 12 may also be said to display information which may include text, video images, graphic data, database records or spreadsheet cells.].
- 20. Claim 15 is substantially equivalent to claim 8, therefore claim 15 is rejected because of similar rationale.
- 21. Claim 16 is substantially equivalent to claim 8, therefore claim 16 is rejected because of similar rationale.
- 22. In regards to claim 17, Berstis states the automatic scrolling is limited to a full-screen shift (col. 3 lines 44-47) [If YES, at block 66 a check is made whether a cursor is displayed in an opened window in the viewing area. If NO, the procedure causes a cursor to be displayed and

Art Unit: 2173

moves to block 68 to check if the cursor is at the screen boundary.].

- 23. In regards to claim 18, Berstis states the automatic scrolling is automatically stopped when the cursor is moved away from the first floating border structure or the second floating border structure (col. 2 lines 51-55) [In addition, the cursor 14 may be placed on the UP arrow 16 or Down (DN) arrow 18, and the pointing device operated to move the contents vertically toward the upper boundary 11 or lower boundary 23, respectively.]. The examiner interprets that if scrolling
- 24. In regards to claim 19, Berstis discloses the display window is a main display window; and, further comprising:

a second display window having a second field of view within the main display window (Figure 2 item 34-36). The examiner interprets that in Figure 2 there are two different windows, and the main window is displayed on top;

a first floating sub-border structure having first and second ends oriented in a vertical plane for effectuating automatic scrolling vertically through content within the second field of view when the cursor is placed on a respective one of the first and second ends of the first floating sub-border structure (Figure 3 items: 68-76). The examiner infers that Figure 3 is the method is which the user utilizes the automatic scrolling function. Referring to Figure 3, it states a multiple functions of scroll speed, check to see end of boundary on a window, and the ability to scroll to another page, even if the mouse pointer is moving in all four directions; and

Art Unit: 2173

Page 11

a second floating sub-border structure having third and fourth ends oriented in a horizontal plane for effectuating automatic scrolling horizontally through content within the second field of view when the cursor is placed on a respective one of the third and fourth ends of the second floating sub-border structure (Figure 3 items: 68-76). The examiner infers that Figure 3 is the method is which the user utilizes the automatic scrolling function. Referring to Figure 3, it states a multiple functions of scroll speed, check to see end of boundary on a window, and the ability to scroll to another page, even if the mouse pointer is moving in all four directions.

25. In regards to claim 20, Berstis states:

a plurality of auto scrolling controls, the auto scrolling controls including at least two of :

a go button (Figure 3 item: 64);

a stop button (Figure 3 item: 64). The examiner interprets that if user does not use the pointing device, then ideally that is a stop botton, meaning there is will be no more activity with the point device;

a page button (Figure 3 tiem: 63 & 74);

a continuous button (Figure 3 item: 76) The examiner interprets that means when after reaching the boundary of the active, then having the ability to still on the next window.;

a slow down button (Figure 3 item: 72); and,

a speedup button (Figure 3 item: 69).

26. In regards to claim 21, Berstis states the steps of:

Art Unit: 2173

displaying a page of a website (col. 2 lines 63-65) [Alternatively, the window 12 may also be said to display information which may include text, video images, graphic data, database records or spreadsheet cells.]; and,

automatically scrolling the page to push and allure navigation through the website (col. 2 lines 35-37) [This invention provides a method and apparatus for automatically scrolling the contents of a window when a cursor reaches any boundary of the window.].

27. In regards to claim 22, Berstis discloses the website has multiple categories wherein each category has multiple sub-categories; and further comprising the step of:

displaying a floating dynamic instruction box overlaid on the page that displays navigational links for alluring the user to further navigate to a category or to a subcategory. The examiner notes that is well common in the art that, that pop-up advertisement are floating dynamic boxes overlaid on the page that displays navigation links for the user to search on the webpage.

- 28. In regards to claim 23, Berstis states the page is a website home page (col. 2 lines 63-65) [Alternatively, the window 12 may also be said to display information which may include text, video images, graphic data, database records or spreadsheet cells.].
- 29. In regards to claim 24, Berstis states the page includes at least one blinking picture or link; and

further comprising the step of:

Art Unit: 2173

dynamically changing the floating dynamic instruction box in response the at least one blinking picture to entice the user to further navigate (col. 2 lines 63-65) [Alternatively, the window 12 may also be said to display information which may include text, video images, graphic data, database records or spreadsheet cells.]. The examiner interprets that if the window has the ability to display text, video images, graphic data, and database, it also has the capability of a blinking picture to entice the user for more navigation.

- 30. In regards to claim 27, Berstis states the page includes at least two independent windows (Figure 2 item: 32-34).
- 31. In regards to claim 28, Berstis discloses the step of automatically scrolling independently the at least two independent windows (col. 2 lines 16-20) [Once the contents of the window have been scrolled to show the contents extending beyond the boundary of the window, continued operation of the remote pointing device causes the cursor to jump to the next opened window in the viewable area.].
- 32. In regards to claim 29, Berstis states:

automatically scrolling a first one of the at least two independent windows at a first speed (col. 3 lines 57-59) [If YES, the procedure causes the cursor to jump to the closest open window and processing continues at block 66. If NO, processing continues at block 68.]. The examiner interprets that when a jump is made to the next window, the method in Figure 3 item 66

Art Unit: 2173

start all over, and goes through the entire steps to determine boundaries or scroll speed.; and,

automatically scrolling a second one of the at least two independent windows at a second speed different from the first speed (col. 3 lines 57-59) [If YES, the procedure causes the cursor to jump to the closest open window and processing continues at block 66. If NO, processing continues at block 68.]. The examiner interprets that when a jump is made to the next window, the method in Figure 3 item 66 start all over, and goes through the entire steps to determine boundaries or scroll speed.

33. In regards to claim 30, Berstis states:

manually scrolling a first one of the at least two independent windows (col. 1 lines 36-38) [One prior art technique for insuring a known amount of information will be displayed beyond the screen boundary is the use of Page Down(PgDn) and Page Up (PgUp) keys.]; and,

continuously, automatically scrolling a second one of the at least two independent windows (col. 2 lines 66-67) [Referring now to FIG. 2, there is shown the operation of the automatic scrolling function of the invention.].

- 34. Claim 31 is substantially equivalent to claim 21, therefore claim 31 is rejected because of similar rationale.
- 35. Claim 32 is substantially equivalent to claim 22, therefore claim 32 is rejected because of similar rationale.

Application/Control Number: 10/052,692 Page 15

Art Unit: 2173

36. Claim 33 is substantially equivalent to claim 23, therefore claim 33 is rejected because of similar rationale.

- 37. Claim 34 is substantially equivalent to claim 24, therefore claim 34 is rejected because of similar rationale.
- 38. Claim 36 is substantially equivalent to claim 27, therefore claim 36 is rejected because of similar rationale.
- 39. Claim 37 is substantially equivalent to claim 28, therefore claim 37 is rejected because of similar rationale.
- 40. Claim 38 is substantially equivalent to claim 29, therefore claim 38 is rejected because of similar rationale.
- 41. Claim 39 is substantially equivalent to claim 30, therefore claim 39 is rejected because of similar rationale.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Art Unit: 2173

- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 42. Claims 25, 26, 35 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Berstis et al (U.S. Patent Number 5,874,936) in view of Bates et al (U.S. Patent Number 6,222,541)
- 43. In regards to claim 25Berstis shows the ability to automatically scroll through documents that are display on a window. If the information excides the window size, the user has the ability to automatically scroll through the information using a pointing device that is connected to the information processing system, but Berstis does not discloses "automating sequences of blinking links in a page; and, activating the blinking links of the sequences to automatically and sequentially push navigation within the website."

Bates discloses a method for locating and selecting hypertext links, and redirecting the web user to the selected web page. The method is done by highlight links to make stand out compared to the HTML text. Highlighting the text means, adding different colors and underlining the text. Bates shows automating sequences of blinking links in a page; and, activating the blinking links of the sequences to automatically and sequentially push navigation within the website. (col. 9 lines 8-12) [If the right mouse button was pressed (step 560=YES), the web browser navigates to the corresponding web site (step 565). If the user did not press the right mouse button (step 560=NO), the link selection mechanism 126 returns to step 515.].

Art Unit: 2173

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to combine Bates, highlighting and locating text method, with Berstis, automatic scroll apparatus, because in Berstis states the window may also be said to display information which may include text, video images, graphic data, database records or spreadsheet cells (col. 2 lines 63-65), and Bates states a web user simply navigates to a web page and scrolls through the web page using the slider on the scroll bar (col. 3 lines 9-12).

The modifications would have been obvious because one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to combine because the number of web user, providers, and server continues to grow, and it will become increasingly for a web user to be able to quickly and efficiently located and select hypertext links embedded in we pages, while using the automatic scroll apparatus.

- demographics or profile. (col. 9 lines 8-11) [If the right mouse button was pressed (step 560=YES), the web browser navigates to the corresponding web site (step 565).]. The examiner interprets that if the user decides to press the mouse button on a link, that the user is actually interested in the website. This would allow the website to push links based on user profile.
- 45. Claim 35 is substantially equivalent to claim 25, therefore claim 35 is rejected because of similar rationale.

#### Conclusion

Art Unit: 2173

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to O'Neal R Mistry whose telephone number is (703) 305-2738. The examiner can normally be reached on 9am - 6pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John W Cabeca can be reached on (703)308-3116. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

O'Neal Mistry Assistant Patent Examiner Art Unit 2173 o'neal.mistry@uspto.gov

> RAYMOND J. BAYERL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 2173

Page 18